

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/060,544 01/30/2002		2002	Naoki Nishi	09792909-5333	8888	
26263	7590	06/01/2005		EXAM	INER	
SONNENS	CHEIN NAT	JELINEK,	JELINEK, BRIAN J			
P.O. BOX 0	61080				· ·	
WACKER	DRIVE STATIO	ON, SEARS TO	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080				2615	2615	
				DATE MAILED OCIDIDAD	_	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A 1! 4! N1						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Summary	10/060,544	NISHI, NAOKI					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	The MANUAL DATE (1)	Brian Jelinek	2615					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - External control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty divill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become AB	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered time (HS from the mailing date of this of NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06</u>	May 2005.						
		is action is non-final.						
3)								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 13-18</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>7-9, and 19-21</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Aponty documents have been real (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National	l Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SR/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15								
	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) S) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 2615

Response to Amendment

The Examiner respectfully submits a response to the amendment received on 5/13/2005 of application no. 10/060,544 filed on 1/30/2002 in which claims 1-24 are currently pending.

Arguments

The Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Please refer to the following office action, which clearly sets forth the reasons for nonpersuasiveness.

The Applicant argues that "Murayama does not disclose that electrodes corresponding to each of the vertical CCD registers are electrically independent from one another and connected to different driving pulse lines". In response, Murayama clearly shows the electrodes corresponding to each of the vertical CCD registers are electrically independent from one another (Fig. 3, elements 10.sub.4 and 10.sub.3), and are connected to different driving pulse lines because "the respective pairs of clock signals are connected to alternating ones of the respective horizontal transfer electrodes" (col. 2, lines 48-50).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2615

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 7-9, and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Murayama et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 4,750,042).

Regarding claim 7, Murayama discloses a CCD imaging device (Fig. 1, element 1) comprising: a plurality of photodetecting portions that are arranged two-dimensionally (Fig. 1, element 1); a plurality of vertical CCD registers for transferring, in a vertical direction, signal charges that are output from the respective photodetecting portions (Fig. 1, element 2); and m horizontal CCD registers for transferring, in a horizontal transfer direction, signal charges that have been transferred by the vertical CCD registers (Fig. 1, elements 6 and 7), the m horizontal CCD registers having horizontal transfer electrodes (Fig. 3) in such a manner that a certain number of electrodes are provided so as to correspond to each of the vertical CCD registers (Fig. 3, element 10.sub.4 and 10.sub.3), and more electrodes than the number of electrodes that are provided so as to correspond to each of the vertical CCD registers are independent of each other electrically and connected to different driving pulse lines (col. 4, lines 20-22, four-phase HCCD readout).

Regarding claim 8, Murayama discloses the transfer electrodes that are independent of each other electrically are divided into pairs of transfer electrodes (e.g, 10.sub.3 and 11.sub.3), and wherein each pair of transfer electrodes are drive in phase in a state (Abstract: lines 8-10) that they are given a prescribed voltage difference in such a manner that the potential becomes deeper in the horizontal transfer direction (col. 2, lines 48-53).

Art Unit: 2615

Regarding claim 9, Murayama discloses the transfer electrodes of the m horizontal CCD registers (Fig. 3, elements 10 and 11) that correspond to each set of n vertical CCD registers adjacent to each other (col. 2, lines 48-50) can be driven independently of each other electrically (col. 2, lines 44-46), where n is greater than or equal to 2.

Regarding claim 19, please see the rejection of claim 7.

Regarding claim 20, please see the rejection of claim 8.

Regarding claim 21, please see the rejection of claim 9.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10-12, and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murayama et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 4,750,042) in view of Fuji (JP Pub. No. 10-191168).

Please note that Fuji (JP Pub. No. 10-191168) is a foreign application on which Ishigami et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,452,634) relies. Consequently, this Office Action references Ishigami et al. as a translation of Fuji.

Regarding claim 10, Murayama discloses in a state that the transfer electrodes that are independent of each other electrically are given prescribed voltage differences in such a manner that the potential becomes deeper in the horizontal transfer direction, a set of transfer electrodes corresponding to each vertical CCD register are driven in phase (col. 2, lines 35-53; Abstract: lines 8-10). Furthermore, Murayama discloses the horizontal CCDs may be driven by any driving system with two, three, or four phases (col. 4, lines 16-23).

Murayama does not disclose two sets of transfer electrodes corresponding to each pair of vertical CCD registers adjacent to each other are driven in opposite phases, whereby signal charges that have been transferred by each pair of vertical CCD registers adjacent to each other are mixed with each other.

However, Ishigami discloses in a state that the four transfer electrodes that are independent of each other electrically (insulted by Fig. 10, element 12) are given prescribed voltage differences in such a manner that the potential becomes deeper in the horizontal transfer direction, a set of four transfer electrodes (Fig. 10, 7W and 7X) corresponding to each vertical CCD register are driven in phase (Fig. 11, φH1a, φH2a) and two sets of four transfer electrodes (Set 1: 7W and 7X; Set 2: 7Y and 7Z) corresponding to each pair of vertical CCD registers adjacent to each other are driven in opposite phases (φH1a vs. vH1b and φH2a vs. φH2b), whereby signal charges that have been transferred by each pair of vertical CCD registers adjacent to each other are mixed with each other (Fig. 10, T3). One of ordinary skill in the art would have provided the driving method of Ishigami in order to enable readout of charge from the horizontal

Art Unit: 2615

CCDs in both a normal speed and an N-speed drive (col. 8, lines 44-65). As a result, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provided the driving system of Ishigami in order to enable readout of charge from the horizontal CCDs in both a normal speed and an N-speed drive.

Regarding claim 11, Murayama discloses transferring signal charges of each set of n vertical CCD registers. Murayama does not disclose signal charges that have been transferred by each set of n vertical CCD registers adjacent to each other are mixed with each other by driving the m horizontal CCD registers in a prescribed number of phases, the prescribed number being equal to the number of transfer electrodes of the m horizontal CCD registers corresponding to the n vertical CCD registers.

However, Ishigami discloses signal charges that have been transferred by each set of n vertical CCD registers adjacent to each other are mixed with each other (Fig. 10, T3) by driving the horizontal CCD register in 4n phases, because each of the four drive signals (φH1a, φH1b, φH2a, and φH2b) drives two electrodes for a total of eight electrode driving phases. One of ordinary skill in the art would have provided the driving method of Ishigami in order to enable readout of charge from the horizontal CCDs in both a normal speed and an N-speed drive (col. 8, lines 44-65). As a result, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provided the driving system of Ishigami in order to enable readout of charge from the horizontal CCD in both a normal speed and an N-speed drive.

Art Unit: 2615

Page 7

Regarding claim 12, Murayama discloses the two horizontal CCDs can be read out by common clocking signals (Abstract, lines 8-10). Murayama does not disclose that signal charges are mixed together.

However, Ishigami discloses in a state that each set of two or more transfer electrodes adjacent to each other (Set 1: 7W and 7X; Set 2: 7Y and 7Z) are supplied with the same voltage or given a prescribed voltage difference in such a manner that the potential becomes deeper in the horizontal transfer direction, the horizontal CCD register is driven in 2n phases, whereby signal charges that have been transferred by each set of n vertical CCD registers adjacent to each other are mixed with each other by driving the horizontal CCD register in 2n phases because the four drive signals (fH1a, fH1b, fH2a, and fH2b) comprise four electrode pair driving phases. One of ordinary skill in the art would have provided the driving method of Ishigami in order to enable readout of charge from the horizontal CCDs in both a normal speed and an N-speed drive (col. 8, lines 44-65). As a result, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provided the driving system of Ishigami in order to enable readout of charge from the horizontal CCD in both a normal speed and an N-speed drive.

Regarding claim 22, please see the rejection of claim 10.

Regarding claim 23, please see the rejection of claim 11.

Regarding claim 24, please see the rejection of claim 12.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-6, and 13-18 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2615

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Jelinek whose telephone number is (571) 272-7366. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 am - 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Groody can be reached at (571) 272-7950. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 2615

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian Jelinek 5/16/2005 James J. Groody Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 262 2615